
**No.MCI-6(2)/2001-Med./**

**Medical Council of India**

**118th Session**

The Council met in the Council Office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi-110 002 on 21st & 22nd June, 2001 at 11.00 a.m. with Dr. Ketan Desai, President, Medical Council of India in the Chair.

** ** **

**Present :**

| 1. Dr. Ketan Desai                                      | 38. Dr. M.K. Bhowmik                           |
| 2. Dr. A. Rajasekaran                                   | 39. Dr. N.B. Singh                             |
| 3. Dr. Ajay Kumar                                       | 40. Dr. Naveen Nahar                           |
| 4. Dr. A.C. Borah                                       | 41. Dr. Nivedita Desai                        |
| 5. Dr. Amrithlal Kalidas Patel                          | 42. Dr. Prem Aggarwal                         |
| 6. Dr. Amrith Lal                                       | 43. Dr. P.K. Patel                            |
| 7. Dr. Anil Kumar Sharma                                | 44. Dr. Pramod Singh                          |
| 8. Dr. B. C.Chhaparwal                                  | 45. Dr. P. Sundararajan                       |
| 9. Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri                                  | 46. Dr. P.M. Jadhav                           |
| 10. Dr. B.P. Dubey                                      | 47. Dr. P.C. Kesavankutty Nair                |
| 11. Dr. B.N. Reddy                                      | 48. Dr. Rani Bhaskaran                       |
| 12. Dr. B.C. Das                                        | 49. Dr. Ramesh Chandra Babu                  |
| 13. Dr. B.A. Rudrawadi                                  | 50. Dr. R.C. Arora                            |
| 14. Dr. B.S. Kothari                                    | 51. Dr. S.B. Siwach                           |
| 15. Dr. C. Das                                         | 52. Dr. Subhash J. Penkar                     |
| 16. Dr. C.S. Nath                                       | 53. Dr. S.C. Shetty                          |
| 17. Dr. C.R. Maiti                                      | 54. Dr. S.S. Yadav                           |
| 18. Dr. D.J. Borah                                      | 55. Dr. Samar Deb                             |
| 19. Dr. D.G. Benakappa                                  | 56. Dr. Silvano C.A. Dias Sapeco              |
| 20. Dr. D.K. Sharma                                     | 57. Dr. S.N. Mishra                           |
| 21. Dr. F.U. Ahmed                                      | 58. Dr. Suraj Prakash                        |
| 22. Dr. G. Sham Sunder                                   | 59. Dr. S.K. Mittal                          |
| 23. Dr. G.K. Thakur                                     | 60. Dr. S.K. Sharma                          |
| 24. Dr. H.P. Bhalodiya                                  | 61. Dr. S.K. Sinha                           |
| 25. Dr. Indrajit Ray                                    | 62. Dr. S.R. Maralihalli                     |
| 26. Dr. J.R. Kher                                       | 63. Dr. Shiv Gautam                          |
| 27. Dr. Jayshree P. Mehta                               | 64. Dr. S.P. Singh                           |
| 28. Dr. K. Ananda Kannan                                | 65. Dr. S. Imkong Tushi A.O.                 |
| 29. Dr. K.S. Chugh                                      | 66. Dr. T.S. Xavior                          |
30. Dr. Kartar Singh 67. Dr. U.G. Nochinolkar
31. Dr. L.S. Chawla 68. Dr.(Mrs.) Usha Sharma
32. Dr. M.K. Sharma 69. Dr. U.C. Samal
33. Dr. M. Amusna Singh 70. Dr. V.K. Puri
34. Dr. Mehrajuddin 71. Dr. Vasant N. Pawar
35. Dr. Munindra Mohan Deka 72. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra
36. Dr. Mohinder Nath 73. Dr. V. Kanagaraj
37. Dr. Muzammil Ullah

Dr. (Mrs.) M. Sachdeva - Secretary

Apologies for absence were received from the following members:-

1. Dr. C.S. Jayachandran
2. Dr. T.R. Gyatso
3. Dr. U.S. Sinha

1. **Notification of new members.**

Secretary read out the notification of the following new members elected/nominated as members of the Council since the last meeting of the Council held on 14th February,2001:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Name of Member</th>
<th>u/s</th>
<th>Constituency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dr. Mohinder Nath</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>H.P. Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dr. U.G. Nachinolcar</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>Goa Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dr. Anil Kumar Sharma</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>Uttaranchal Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>M.P. Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dr. Pramod Singh</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dr. J.R. Kher</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>Maharashtra Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dr. Indrajit Ray</td>
<td>3(1)(a)</td>
<td>West Bengal Govt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra</td>
<td>3(1)(b)</td>
<td>Nagpur University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dr. S.K. Sharma</td>
<td>3(1)(b)</td>
<td>Panjab University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dr. Munindra Mohan Deka</td>
<td>3(1)(b)</td>
<td>Gauhati University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **Minutes of the last meeting – Confirmation of.**

The minutes of the last meeting of the Council held on 14th February, 2001 were confirmed.

3. **Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Action taken thereon.**

The Council noted the action taken by the office on the various items included in the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 14th February, 2001 with the following modification in item No. 78.

**Item No. 78:** Madras University – Approval of Dr. A.L.M. PG Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Taramani, Chennai for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & DCP qualifications.

The action taken by the Council in this matter be read as under:-

“During the time of discussion under this item an objection was raised by Dr. K. Ananda Kannan, Vice-Chancellor, The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University that Madras University does not have medical faculty since 10 years and the entire medical faculties have been transferred to the Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University since its inception in 1988. M.D.(Pathology) & DCP which are all medical degrees recognised by the MCI, should not be conducted by university, which doesn’t have medical faculty. This objection was accepted and it was decided to seek clarification from Madras University on the above matter, and recognition of such degrees will be withheld till further clarification is obtained.

Accordingly, clarification was sought from the Registrar, Madras University as to why the Dr. A.L.M. PG Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Taramani was not transferred under Dr. M.G.R. Medical University and it preferred to have its examination conducted by Madras University. Reply in the matter is still awaited.”
4. **Presidential Address.**

Dr. Ketan Desai delivered the Presidential address and copy of the same was circulated to the august body.

President informed that it was on 31.7.1996 when a similar General Body meeting was held and the Hon’ble members of the Council had entrusted him the responsibility of the Council by electing him as the President of the Council. He expressed his immense satisfaction of the help, support and counsel provided to him by the members of the Council and the administrative staff.

He further informed regarding the legal matters during the impending period and specially in the recent past which had a material significance and bearing on the interpretation of certain governing provisions of the Indian Medical Council Act,1956.

Section 7(1) of the Act which contemplates that “the President or Vice-President of the Council shall hold office for a term not exceeding 5 years and not extending beyond the expiry of his term as member of the Council” hitherto had been consistently interpreted that during the term, as President/Vice-President the incumbent has to have the eligibility of being member of the Council. In other words, at any point of time of his stipulated 5 year term, his President ship shall be co-terminus with the cessation of his membership of the Council. However, the Learned Single Judge, Delhi High Court in his order dated 28th May, 2001 ruled that the term as President of the Council ceased w.e.f. 13th of Feb.2000 upon completion of his term as member of the Council vide his nomination by the Govt. of India u/s 3(1)(e) of the Act issued on 20.2.1996 and further directed that in terms of the governing regulations the Vice-President shall be looking after the duties & responsibilities of the President till the election to the post of President & Vice-President are held on 21st June, 2001.

Nonetheless, the very fraction that was interpreted by the Learned Single Judge resulting in cessation of the term of Dr. Ketan Desai as President of the Council was equally applicable to the Vice-President, who was directed to lookafter the charge of Presidentship, because his term as member of the Council had come to an end on 7th May, 2001 itself.

The Council had sought the opinion of the Learned Addl. Solicitor General, Govt. of India in this regard, who categorically advised the Council to file an appeal before the Division Bench. However, for reasons unknown the said advice was not given effect to and the appeal was preferred by the Union of India before the Division Bench of Delhi High Court, who by their order dated 4th June,2001 stayed
the operation and effect of the Learned Single Judge dated 28th May, 2001 resulting in resumption of the charge as President of the Council till date.

The order dated 4th June, 2001 by the Division Bench was challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court through a Special Leave Petition, whereunder the Hon’ble Court in its order dated 18th of June, 2001 have endorsed the order of the Division Bench which permitted Dr. Desai to continue as President till date and that the Hon’ble Supreme Court has also accepted the suggestion on behalf of the Council that the elections to be held today the 21.06.2001 shall be under the supervision of an “independent Observer” nominated by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, Hon’ble Justice (Retired) K. Ramamoorthy shall be the Observer for the elections to the post of President & Vice-President on the agenda. In the opinion of Dr. Ketan Desai this will give enough credible evidence to all concerned that the elections shall be absolutely free and totally fair adding to the credibility of the Council further.

Dr. Desai further informed the members that the narration of the facts was not with the motive or a purpose. The only aim was to keep the Hon’ble members of the Council informed about the events which took place during the impending period.

While Dr. Ketan Desai was delivering his speech, Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal objected in between and stated that President should not mention any thing in his address which is still subjudice. But the other members objected to this statement of Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal and were of the opinion that every member of the august body had a right to know about the happenings in the Court. At this juncture Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal walked out of the hall for some time. However, President continued with his presidential address and informed the members of the Council that there may be no discussion on this matter.

Dr. Vasant N. Pawar, Dr. L.S. Chawla, Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma, Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Dr. C. Das, Dr. S.K. Mittal etc. etc. moved “Motion of Thanks” for the Presidential Address.

5. **President – Election of.**

The election of the President of the Medical Council of India u/s 3(2) of the I.M.C. Act, 1956 was conducted for regular vacancy vice Dr. Ketan Desai as President whose present term as President after his election on 31.07.1996 will expire on 31.07.2001.

The term of the President who will be elected in this General Body meeting shall commence from 01.08.2001.
Dr. Ketan Desai, welcomed Hon’ble Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy who was appointed as an Observer by the Hon’ble Supreme Court for conducting elections to the posts of President and Vice-President vide its order dated 18.06.2001. He requested Justice Ramamoorthy to conduct the election by appointing somebody as a Returning Officer. Dr. Prem Aggarwal objected to it and stated that he was appointed as a Returning Officer by Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal on 01.06.2001 when he was performing the duties of the President as per the Ld. Single Judge Delhi High Court’s order dated 28.05.2001. Justice Ramamoorthy rejected the objection of Dr. Prem Aggarwal and apprised the Council that as per the orders of the Hon’ble Supreme Court dated 18.06.2001 he has been appointed as an Observer and has to see that the elections are conducted as per the prescribed rules and regulations and according to which Returning Officer for the elections to the posts of President and Vice-President has to be appointed by the Council today i.e. 21.06.2001.

Dr. Mukesh Kr. Sharma proposed the name of Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri which was seconded by Dr. G.K. Thakur, Dr.S.K.Sinha, Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Sharma, Dr. S.K. Mittal, Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra. Appointment of Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri as Returning Officer was then unanimously approved by the Council.

Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri accordingly took the Chair as Returning Officer. He informed all the members to ensure that they have signed the attendance register as well as on the members list which has been submitted by the Secretary as electoral roll failing which they shall not be entitled for voting.

Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri, the Returning Officer then nominated two members namely Dr. L.S. Chawla and Dr. D.G. Benakappa to act as Scrutiny Committee which was unanimously approved by the members of the Council.

Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri then called for nominations from the members present for election to the post of President. He explained that the nomination paper contains the name of the candidate, post or office for which the candidate is contesting, name of the proposer and name of the seconder. He also informed that the nominations received should be duly signed by the concerned along with a signed consent letter from the person whose name is being proposed for the post of the President. 20 minutes time was given for filing of the nomination papers.

The Scrutiny Committee submitted its report to the Returning Officer informing therein that they have received 2 names for the post of President after scrutinising the nomination papers duly proposed and seconded which were 30 in favour of Dr. Ketan Desai and 2 in favour of Dr. A. Rajasekharan. The Returning Officer, therefore informed the august body that election to the post of President is required to be held. However, as per the provisions in the election rules, if any body
out of these two candidates wishes to withdraw they may do so for which 10 minutes
time was granted.

After the expiry of 10 minutes as there was no withdrawal election was
announced and again as per the election rules the Returning Officer nominated two
members – Dr. D.K. Sharma and Dr. C.R. Maity as Polling Committee which was
unanimously approved by the members of the Council.

A Polling Committee thus constituted consisting of Dr. D.K. Sharma and
Dr. C.R. Maity supervised the poll and counted the votes polled for the election to the
post of President in the presence of Observer Hon’ble Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy. The ballot paper duly signed by the Returning Officer was handed over by the
Polling Committee to each member of the Council one by one as per electoral roll.

The Polling Committee submitted the result of the election to the Returning
Officer who in turn in the presence of Hon’ble Justice Mr. K. Ramamoorthy
announced the results of the election as under: -

1. Total number of votes polled - 73
2. All votes were valid

Dr. Ketan Desai secured 69 votes and Dr. A. Rajasekharan secured 4 votes.

Accordingly, Dr. Ketan Desai was declared elected as President of the
Medical Council of India for a term of 5 years.

The meeting was adjourned for lunch.

After lunch members of the Council re-assembled for continuation of the
meeting.

6. **Vice-President – Election of.**

The election of the Vice-President of the Medical Council of India u/s 3(2) of
the I.M.C. Act, 1956 was conducted for the regular vacancy vice Dr. B.C.
Chhaparwal whose term as Vice-President after his election on 01.08.1996 will

The term of the Vice-President who will be elected in this General Body
meeting shall commence from 01.08.2001.
Dr. Ketan Desai took the Chair and sought the opinion of the Council members regarding continuing the same Returning Officer i.e. Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri for the conduct of the election to the post of Vice-President.

Council unanimously approved the continuation of Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri as Returning Officer for the conduct of election to the post of Vice-President.

Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri, the Returning Officer then asked the Council for its approval to continue the same Scrutiny Committee which was appointed with the approval of the Council for the election to the post of President i.e Dr. L.S. Chawla and Dr. D.G. Benakappa. The Council unanimously approved the continuation of the same Scrutiny Committee with Dr. L.S. Chawla and Dr. D.G. Benakappa as its members.

Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri then called for nominations from the members present for election to the post of Vice-President. He explained that the same procedure will be followed for filing nomination papers for the post of the Vice-President as has been followed for the post of President. He also informed that the nominations received should be duly signed by the concerned along with a signed consent letter from the person whose name is being proposed for the post of the Vice-President. 20 minutes time was again given for filing of the nomination papers.

The Scrutiny Committee submitted its report to the Returning Officer informing that they have received only 1(one) name for the election for the post of Vice-President after scrutinising the nomination papers which were in order duly proposed and seconded along with the consent of the candidate. These nomination papers were 7(seven) in number and in favour of Dr. P.C. Kesavankutty Nair.

Dr. P.C. Kesavankutty Nair accordingly was declared elected unanimously as Vice-President of the Council for a term of 5 years.

Election to the post of Vice-President with Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri as Returning Officer and Scrutiny Committee consisting of Dr. L.S. Chawla and Dr. D.G. Benakappa was also held with the approval and in the presence of the Observer Hon’ble Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy.

Upon completion of the election to the posts of President and Vice-President, the Returning Officer and the General Body recorded its gratitude to Hon’ble Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy for acting as an Observer in the meeting of the General Body as per the orders of the Hon’ble Supreme Court dated 18.06.2001.
Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy also thanked the Returning Officer and the members of the Council for extending full cooperation to him for the conduct of the election to the posts of President and Vice-President. Mr. Justice K. Ramamoorthy was given a standing ovation by the august body.

Dr. Ketan Desai, then took the Chair and thanked the members for imposing their trust in him and electing him again as the President of the Council for the second term. He then declared the meeting to close for that day and requested the members to reassemble again on 22.06.2001 at 11.00 a.m. for continuing with the General Body meeting of the Council.

22.06.2001 at 11.00 a.m.

Council met in the Council office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi-2 on 22.06.2001 at 11.00 a.m. with Dr. Ketan Desai, President in the Chair.

The meeting was called to order.

At the commencement of the meeting Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, with the permission of the Chair moved a resolution that items No. 38,39,40,41,42,47,48,51,52,53,55,78,79 & 80 may be taken up first in chronological order as these items relate to general and academic policy issues and do not pertain to any individual college. This resolution was accepted unanimously by the Council and accordingly these items were taken up one by one.

38. **Inclusion of D.M. course in Rheumatology – request regarding.**

Read:- The letter dated 11th April, 2001 from Dr. Sukumar Mukherjee, President, Indian Rheumatology Association with regard not to discontinue D.M. (Rheumatology) course already running in Madras.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee deliberated at length on the suggestion for continuing the DM course in Rheumatology and doing away with the MD course in Rheumatology which has been included in the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations 2000. The expert opinion that Rheumatology is one of the specialised course along with Cardiology, Neurology, Endocrinology etc. all over the world, and the opinion of the Chairman of Syllabus Committees who was appointed for drafting the syllabi for various PG courses that only D.M. (Rheumatology) should be permitted in lieu of its importance, the
Postgraduate Committee decided to recommend the approval of the inclusion of D.M. (Rheumatology) in the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations and deletion of the M.D. (Rheumatology) course in the schedule to the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations.”

39. **Representation for continuance of the course by nomenclature of M.Ch.(Vascular Surgery) – compliance from Vascular Society of India regarding.**

Read:- The letter dated 29.11.2000 from the Vascular Society of India, Chennai against the decision of the MCI as notified in PG regulations 2000, to have super speciality course in the subject with nomenclature of M.Ch.(Cardio Vascular & Thoracic Surgery) only.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-


The Committee noted that the combined degree of M.Ch. (Cardio Vascular & Thoracic Surgery) had been included as a super speciality degree in the schedule to the Postgraduate Regulations and further noted that the Madras Medical College has been conducting M.Ch. in Vascular Surgery course since 1985 and there are a number of Vascular Surgery speciality departments in various hospitals / medical colleges all over the country.

The Committee agreed to the proposal to include Vascular Surgery as a separate speciality under M.Ch. in the schedule to the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulation, 2000 as it will help in developing the speciality in other medical institutions particularly in Govt. institutions where the treatment facilities for poor may be enhanced.

Accordingly, the Postgraduate Committee decided to recommend the inclusion of separate M.Ch. course in Vascular Surgery in the schedule to the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations.”
40. **Inclusion of diploma in Radiation Medicine in Postgraduate Medical Course – proposal of Radiation Medicine Centre (BACR), Mumbai.**

Read:- The letter dated 27.7.2000 & 16.1.2001 from the Head, Radiation Medicine Centre, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, regarding inclusion of diploma in Radiation Medicine in Postgraduate Medical Course.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letters from BARC, Mumbai regarding the need for inclusion of diploma in Radiation Medicine in Postgraduate Medical Course. The Committee noted that this diploma was already recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956. The Committee was of the opinion that Diploma in Radiation Medicine which is related to Nuclear Medicine should be recognised as a separate speciality, without linking it with DMRT and hence, decided to recommend that the following be added in the schedule to the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, under item “F. Diplomas”, item No. 28 :- “28. Radiation Medicine (DRM)”

41. **Removal of the name of deceased person from the Indian Medical Register.**

Read:- The letter dated 23.12.2000 received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council with regard to removal of name of deceased person Dr. Hem Raj Dhariwal from the Indian Medical Register.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted letter dated 23.12.2000 received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council, Jaipur intimating that Dr. Hem Raj Dhariwal, Registration No. 2788, has expired and hence, his name has been erased from the register of Registered Medical Practitioners.

The Committee decided to remove the same from the Indian Medical Register also.”
42. **Removal of the name of deceased person from the Indian Medical Register.**

Read:- The letter received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council with regard to removal of name of deceased person (Dr. Narsingh Das Mathur) from the Indian Medical Register.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted letter dated 27.03.2001 received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council, Jaipur intimating that Dr. Narsingh Das Mathur, Registration No. 915, has expired and hence, his name has been erased from the register of Registered Medical Practitioners.

The Committee decided to remove the same from the Indian Medical Register also.”

47. **Reservation of seats in MBBS for persons with disabilities – Case of Shri C.S.P. Anka Topo, student of MBBS at AIIMS.**

Read : The letter received from National Human Rights Commission in the matter of Shri C.S.P. Anka Topo, student of AIIMS, New Delhi for grant of permission to appear in the final MBBS examination.

The Council noted that a Sub-Committee was constituted by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22.01.2001 to consider the representation of one Mr. Topo for permitting him to sit for the MBBS examination at AIIMS. The decision of the Sub-Committee was communicated to the National Human Rights Commission vide its letter dated 26.3.2001.

The National Human Rights Commission has now intimated that during the hearing on 28.05.2001 Dr. Dave Director AIIMS has informed the Commission that the institute has formed an opinion that it is possible to examine Shri Topo for the MBBS course in spite of the disability from which he now suffers by adopting a modifying methodology for examining the students.

Dr. Dave has also stated that steps are being traken by him to examine Shri Topo for the final MBBS examination at the earliest. In addition, a Committee of the experts be constituted to work out a system as a permanent measure. The Commission has decided that it is appropriate that a similar exercise is performed by the Medical Council of India so that the same facility and system is available in other
medical institutions in the country. For this purpose they have requested the presence of President as well as Secretary of MCI at the next hearing when Dr. Dave will furnish further details of the exercise he has undertaken, to enable soliciting response of the MCI in this behalf.

The Council deliberated in the matter at length and decided to form a Sub-Committee with Dr. S.C. Shetty as its Chairman and further decided that this Sub-Committee may submit its report to the Council office within 2 weeks from today in order to apprise the National Human Rights Commission accordingly. Council further authorised Dr. S.C. Shetty to have members on this committee as per his desire. In the meantime, the Council office may procure the details of the steps taken by Dr. Dave to examine Shri Topo for the final MBBS examination and the same be placed before the Sub-Committee for its consideration.

48. **Eligibility for admission to D.M./M.Ch. course – Letter from NTR University of Health Sciences - regarding.**

Read : The letter dated 9.2.2001 from the Registrar, NTR University of Health Sciences regarding admission to D.M./M.Ch. entrance test being conducted by NTR University of Health Sciences; the holder of DNB qualification without MD/MS qualifications are eligible.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter dated 9-2-2001 of the Registrar, NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and noted that the DNB qualification is treated at par with MD/MS provided the holders of the said qualification is in possession of one year post DNB research experience.

In view of this, the Postgraduate Committee decided that the DNB candidates who possess one year research experience after obtaining the qualification may be considered eligible for admission to DM/MCh. The clause of one year research experience will be later on substituted by one year teaching experience as a Tutor / Registrar / Demonstrator or equivalent post in a recognised medical college imparting undergraduate teaching and training as per General Body decision dated 29-10-98, once the same is approved by the Central Govt. for substituting one year research experience with this recommendation.”
51. **Accreditation of medical teaching institutions (UG Level).**

Read:- The matter with regard to accreditation of medical teaching institutions (UG level) along with the proceedings of the workshop held in the Council office on 3rd April, 2001 as well as comments received from Dr. B. Ray Choudhuri, Dr. T.K. Parthasarthy, Dr. S. Chandrashekar Shetty and Dr. K.R. Shetty and decision taken by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24/4/2001.

The Council noted that a Sub-Committee consisting of three members with Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri as its Chairman was constituted in March,1998 to scrutinise the feasibility of accreditation being applied to medical institutions in the country along with evolving the necessary modalities thereof. The recommendations of the Sub-Committee were considered at the workshop held in the Council office on 3.4.2001 where the participants were Council members, Vice-Chancellors of Universities of Health Sciences and Deemed Universities as well as representative of National Assessment Accreditation Council and the All India Council of Technical Education.

Council also noted that the proceedings of the Sub-Committee were placed before the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24.04.2001. The Executive Committee had considered the recommendations of the Workshop along with the observations received from the participants.

Council also noted that while accepting the recommendations of the Workshop the Executive Committee had decided to take steps to get accreditation component included as part of the Indian Medical Council Act,1956 to initiate action to assess certain willing institutions for accreditation with the Council.

The Council accepted the recommendations of the Workshop as accepted by the Executive Committee with the observations received from some members.

52. **Reservation for Schedule Caste/Schedule Tribes/OBC in Postgraduate Medical Courses – Regarding.**

Read:- The matter with regard to reservation for Schedule Caste/Schedule Tribes/OBC in Postgraduate Medical Courses.

The Council noted that in the previous regulations on Postgraduate Medical Education the Medical Council of India had not provided for reservation of seats for any category of candidates including Schedule Castes/Scheduled Tribes. On the recommendations of the Council a draft PG regulations which was to be in
replacement of the old regulations approved in 1971 had been under consideration of the Central Govt.

In 1999, the State Govt. of U.P. and Madhya Pradesh had prescribed a cut-off percentage of marks much below the percentage prescribed for general category candidates. The State of U.P. prescribed a cut off percentage of 20% marks for the reserved category candidates. Similarly the Madhya Pradesh Govt. had prescribed the cut off minimum percentage of 20% for SC, 15% for ST and 40% for OBCs. The orders of these two State Govts. had been challenged in the Supreme Court. The Hon’ble Supreme Court pronounced their judgement dated 10th August, 1999 (in Writ petition No.290 of 1997 and others with writ petition No.350 of 1998). The majority judgement was delivered by four judges and a dissenting judgement was made by a single judge.

The majority judgement held as follows:-

“The regulations governing Postgraduate Medical Education already referred to earlier provide for admission on the basis of merit. The Regulations however have not clearly spelt out whether there can or cannot be any reservation for SC, ST and/or Backward Class candidates at the stage of postgraduate medical admissions. Whether such a reservation would impinge on the standards or not would depend upon the manner in which such reservation is made and whether the minimum qualifying marks for the reserved categories are properly fixed or not. It is for the Medical Council of India to lay down proper norms in this area and to prescribe whether the minimum qualifying marks for the admission of students in the reserved category can be less than the minimum qualifying marks for the general category students at the postgraduate level; and if so, to what extent. Even if we accept the contention of the respondents that for reserved category candidates also, their inter-se merit is the criteria for selection although for the reserved category of candidates lower minimum qualifying marks are prescribed, the merit which is envisaged under the Indian Medical Council Act or its regulations is comparative merit for all categories of candidates. For admission to a PG course in Medicine the merit criteria cannot be so diluted by the State as to affect the standards of Postgraduate Medical Education as prescribed under the Regulations framed by the Indian Medical Council. It is for the Indian Medical Council to consider whether lower minimum qualifying marks can be prescribed at the postgraduate level for the reserved category candidates. We have already opined that the minimum qualifying marks of 20% as compared to 45% for the general category candidates appear to be too low. This would make it difficult for the reserved category candidates to bring their performance on a par with general category candidates in the course of postgraduate studies and before they qualify in the postgraduate examination……….. The purpose however of higher education is not to fill the seats which are available by lowering standards: nor is the purpose of reservation at the stage of postgraduate medical education merely to fill the seats with the reserved category candidates……..
15(4) and the spirit of reason which permeates it, do not permit lowering of minimum of qualifying marks at the postgraduate level to 20% for the reserved category as against 45% for the general category candidates. It will be for the Medical Council of India to decide whether such lowering is permissible and if so to what extent. But in the meanwhile at least the norms which are prescribed for admission to MBBS course ought not to be lowered at the postgraduate level. The lowering of minimum qualifying marks for admission to the MBBS courses has been permitted by the I.M.C. up to 35% (40%) for the reserved category as against 45% (50%) for the general category. The marks cannot be lowered further for admission to the Postgraduate Medical Courses especially when at the super speciality level it is the unanimous view of all the judgements of this Court that there should be no reservation. This would also imply that there can be no lowering of minimum qualifying marks for any category at the level of admission to the super speciality courses. ……To conclude –

1. We have not examined the question whether reservations are permissible at the postgraduate level of medical education;
2. A common entrance examination envisaged under the Regulations framed by the MCI for postgraduate medical education requires fixing of minimum qualifying marks for passing the examination since it is not a mere screening test.
3. Whether lower minimum qualifying marks for the reserved category candidates can be prescribed at the postgraduate level of medical education is a question which must be decided by the MCI since it affects standards of postgraduate medical education. Even if minimum qualifying marks can be lowered for the reserved category candidates there cannot be a void disparity between the minimum qualifying marks for the reserved category candidates and the minimum qualifying marks for the general category candidates at this level. The percentage of 20% for the reserved category and 45% for the general category is not permissible under Article 15(4), the same being unreasonable at the postgraduate level and contrary to public interest.
4. At the level of admission to the super speciality courses no special provisions are permissible, they being contrary to national interest. Merit alone can be the basis of selection.”

On receipt of the judgement, till the matter was being considered by the Postgraduate Committee and the Council, the MCI implemented the order of the Supreme Court that pending consideration of the question of reservation by the PG Committee/Council, and intimated to the Govts. of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh that in the case of admission on the basis of competitive entrance examination, a general category candidate for admission to the PG medical course must have obtained not less than 50% marks and in the case of candidate belonging to SC/ST/OBC he must have obtained not less than 40% marks.
The whole matter was considered by the meetings of the PG Committee, combined EC & PG Committee and later on by the General Body of the Council at its meeting held on the 5th November, 1999. On the basis of the recommendations of the Council suitable provision has been made in the postgraduate medical education regulations, 2000 which was approved by the Central Govt. and notified in the Gazette of India dated 7th October, 2000 which reads as follows:

“9. SELECTION OF POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS

(1) Students for postgraduate medical courses shall be selected strictly on the basis of their academic merit.

(2) For determining the academic merit, the university/institution may adopt any one of the following procedures both for degree and diploma courses:

(i) On the basis of merit as determined by a competitive test conducted by the State Govt. or by the competent authority appointed by the State Govt. or by the University/group of universities in the same state; or

(ii) On the basis of merit as determined by a centralised competitive test held at the national level; or

(iii) On the basis of the individual cumulative performance at the first, second and third MBBS examinations, if such examinations have been passed from the same university; or

(iv) Combination of (i) and (iii):

Provided that wherever entrance test for postgraduate admission is held by a State Govt. or a university or any other authorised examining body, the minimum percentage of marks for eligibility for admission to postgraduate medical courses shall be fifty per cent for all the candidates;

Provided further that in non-Governmental institutions fifty percent of the total seats shall be filled by the competent authority and the remaining fifty per cent by the management of the institution on the basis of merit.”

All the State Govts. were required to follow the admission procedure as enunciated in the above mentioned regulations from the date of publication namely 7th October, 2000. The Chief Secretary, Medical Education, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh vide his letter dated 17.4.2001 informed that as per the regulations there is no reservation for SC/ST candidates and the minimum percentage of marks in the entrance examination for admission to PG course has been prescribed as 50% for
both reserved and non-reserved categories inspite of the fact that the Supreme Court had in their order in Preeti Srivastava case had ruled that there should be some reduction in the minimum percentage of marks for reserved categories. He also stated that such relaxation is necessary for two reasons, namely, follow up of the Hon’ble Supreme Court orders and the great difference in education between the reserved and unreserved categories in Madhya Pradesh. While requesting the Council to fix the reduced percentage of marks for reserved categories, the Chief Secretary has also informed that M.P Govt. have already fixed 50% for general category and 40% for reserved categories in the entrance examination for PG courses. In the meanwhile another letter has been received from Shri Hoshiar Singh, Secretary, Medical Education, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh vide his letter dated 28.4.2001 reiterating the need for prescribing reduced minimum percentage of marks for reserved category candidates in the entrance examination for PG course. The reasons for this request are given as follows:-

(i) In the PGI, Chandigarh while the minimum marks for open general category candidates are kept as 55% the minimum marks for SC/ST candidates are kept as 50%.

(ii) In the All India Entrance Examination for PG courses the minimum marks for general category are kept as 50% while it is kept as 40% for SC/ST candidates.

(iii) In the Medical Education Department of Madhya Pradesh as against 136 class I posts only 82 are working and as against 248 posts in class II only 141 are working and the balance of 54 in class I and 107 in Class II posts are lying vacant as they are reserved for SC/ST candidates and for want of suitable candidates from this category.

(iv) A large number of posts in class I and class II categories in Health Deptt at PHCs, District Hospital etc. are lying vacant as SC/ST candidates are unable to get admission to medical courses both at UG & PG level.

(v) In the Health Deptt. as against 2337 posts sanctioned for SC/ST, only 750 have been filled up.

He, therefore, requested that the minimum qualifying marks for admission to PG courses may be kept as 40% for SC/ST candidates and 50% for unreserved categories.

The Chief Minister of Madhya Pradesh addressed a letter to the Prime Minister on the 24th April,2001 requesting to direct the MCI to make a relaxation for SC & ST by lowering the limits of qualifying marks upto atleast 40% in entrance examination for admission to postgraduate course in medical colleges “to enable us to fulfill our obligations under the Directive Principles”. A copy of Ministry of Health & F.W. letter dated 29th May,2001 enclosing copies of (i) letter dated 24th April,2001 addressed by Shri Digvijay Singh, C.M., Madhya Pradesh to P.M.
reply of P.M. dated 28th April, 2001 to C.M., Madhya Pradesh etc. is attached for perusal.

The National Commission for SC/ST convened a meeting on 27th April, 2001 on the subject of non-implementation of reservation of policy for admission to PG degree and diploma course in the Delhi University. Shri Dilip Singh Bhuria, Chairman of the National Commission was in the Chair. Among others Secretary, Deptt. of Health, Ministry of Health & F.W., Secretary, MCI, representatives of UGC and University of Delhi were present. The Commission noted that inspite of Govt. instructions for reservation of 15% seats for SC and 25% seats for ST candidates, Delhi University has not been following the instructions and gives only the weightage of 20 marks out of 1200 at the time of screening to the SC/ST students for ranking them in the merit list for the purposes of their admission to the PG course concerned. The Commission observed that this was extremely inadequate weightage to the SC/ST candidates and was the main reason for denial of admission to SC/ST students in PG courses. Moreover the University does not specify clearly in its prospectus the number of seats for SC/ST category in each course. They have also pointed out that the UGC has issued instructions that the Universities should ensure that all the seats reserved for SC/ST should be filled up by selecting candidates belonging to these categories and not by others. Further it is irrational to apply to them (i.e. SC/ST candidates) criteria like minimum eligibility marks and cut off point marks which are much higher than the pass marks in the relevant qualifying examination. The Commission have also quoted that the dissenting judgement of the Supreme Court in the Preeti Srivastava case which states that it is equally permissible for the State Authorities to fix a reduced minimum qualifying marks at the entrance examination for SC/ST candidates and therefore the Commission has suggested that the Delhi University should dilute its cut off marks suitably to the SC/ST candidates.

As a sequel to the meeting held in the office of the National Commission for SC/ST, the Ministry of Health & F.W., asked this Council to examine the question of giving concession to candidates from reserved categories in the minimum threshold level of the screening test (entrance examination for PG course) taking into account the following issues:-

a) How many reserved category candidates are able to obtain PG admission under the current MCI bye-laws.
b) Taking into account the performance of reserved category candidates in the last five years, how much concession will have to be given in the minimum threshold level of the screening test in order to ensure that the constitutional requirements in respect of reservation are achieved.
c) The maximum difference which can be prescribed for reserved category candidates vis-à-vis open category candidates, for minimum performance in the screening test in the context of the principles set out in the judgement of the Supreme Court in Writ Petition Nos. 290/97 and 350/98.
d) Recommendation of MCI for a reduced minimum level of performance in the screening test for reserved category candidates, taking into account the irreducible intellectual requirements to undertake a PG course.

The Ministry also requested the Council to consider the above matter and forward to the Ministry the Council’s recommendations for amending the PG medical education regulations, 2000. The Ministry have also asked the Council to forward a copy of instructions if any issued by way of regulations or guidelines with regard to reservations in admission to UG and PG courses for reserved category candidates to be followed by the University/Institution.

The Council noted that it has not collected figures of admission of reserved category candidates to PG courses and further that it does not have any information about the performance of reserved category candidates in the last five years. Further no instructions with regard to reservation in admission to UG and PG courses for reserved category candidates to be followed by the University/Institution has been issued by this Council.

Council considered the Central Govt.’s letter dated 3.5.2001 on the issue for relaxation in the minimum percentage of marks for eligibility for admission to postgraduate medical courses in respect of SC/ST candidates along with its enclosures.

Council with deep sense of pain noted certain observations made by the Hon’ble Chief Minister of Madhya Pradesh Shri Digvijay Singh in his letter dated 24.04.2001 addressed to Hon’ble Prime Minister. The observations such as (1) “anti reservation attitude of body like MCI”, and (2) “Unfortunately, MCI has not only failed to comply with the directives, principles enshrined in the Constitution but also fell prey to the anti reservation forces in making the PG Medical Regulations, 2000------“ as they are false allegations against the Statutory Body established under the Act of Parliament which is discharging its duties within the rules and regulations framed under the provisions of this Act in order to maintain the high standards of medical education not only within the country but also to keep in pace with the standards being followed in the neighbouring developed and developing countries. It was decided that this observation of the Council be communicated to the Chief Minister of the State with a copy to the Health Ministry lodging a protest for these allegations levelled against the MCI.

However, taking a larger view on the whole issue, the Council decided that wherever entrance examination of postgraduate admission is held by the State Govts or University or any other authorised examining body, the minimum percentage of marks for eligibility for admission to postgraduate medical courses shall be 50% for general category candidates and 40% for the reserved category candidates. However
this decision shall be made effective prospectively after the necessary amendment is made by the Central Govt. in the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, 2000.

Council also noted with great regret that State of M.P. has already lowered the marks to 40% for the reserved categories in the entrance examination for postgraduate courses as against 50% for the general category in violation of the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, 2000 and decided to issue a show cause notice for not following the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations as the amendments to the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations are still to be issued for lowering the percentage of marks for the reserved category candidates on the recommendations of the General Body of the Council.

53. **Reservation for persons with disabilities for admission to medical course.**

Read:- The matter with regard to reservation for persons with disabilities for admission to medical course.

The Council noted that so far as reservation of seats in the Undergraduate Medical Course is concerned, the Regulations of the MCI, prevalent before the 1997 Graduate Medical Education Regulations came into force provided that so far as the Schedule Castes and Schedule Tribes are concerned the eligibility marks may be kept at 40% instead of 50% for General Category candidates. However, in the 1997 regulations the above clause was modified so that the relaxed marks are applicable to OBC candidates also in addition to SC/ST. It may however be noted that the Council has not provided reservation of seats for any category of seats in the regulations.

The Chief Commissioner for Disabilities had taken up with the Council the question of reservation of seats for persons with disabilities as per provisions of the Persons with Disabilities (Equal opportunities, Protection of right and full participation) Act, 1995. The General Body of the Council at its meeting held on 5.11.99 considered the whole matter of reservation for various categories of persons in medical courses and decided that there should be no reservation of any kind for admission in medical courses. A reply was furnished to the Chief Commissioner for disabilities that the Council does not favour reservation for persons with disabilities in any of the medical courses. However, the Chief Commissioner for disabilities had not agreed with the Council view and asked the Council to reconsider the matter with particular reference to admission of persons with disabilities in undergraduate medical courses.

The Council also noted that the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22nd January, 2001 constituted a Sub-Committee under the Chairmanship of Dr. K. Ananda Kannan to frame guidelines for making reservation of seats in medical
courses for persons with disabilities. The Sub-Committee submitted its report on 4th April, 2001. In the meanwhile, the Chief Commissioner for Disabilities had passed an interim order dated the 9th February, 2001 which was also considered by the Sub-Committee. The Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24th April, 2001 considered the report of the Sub-Committee and decided to call for the comments of the Specialist Association of ENT Specialists, Ophthalmologists, Orthopaedics Surgeons and General Surgeons on the report of the Sub-Committee. Accordingly the views of Associations were called for. While three associations gave their comments, the All India Ophthalmic Society had proposed to place the matter before its office bearers Sub-Committee, before sending their reply.

The Council also noted that in order to have a broader view on the Sub-Committee report, the President of the Council convened a meeting of some experts on the 24th May, 2001 where the Sub-Committee went into the details with reference to the Reservation of seats in medical course for Persons with Disabilities as per the Persons with Disabilities (Equal opportunities, Protection of right and Full Participation) Act, 1995. The provisions of Section 39 of the Act were carefully studied and based on this an agreement was reached to follow 3% reservation for Physically Handicapped for admission to medical course also. The categories of people under disabilities as classified under the Act covers the following three categories:

1. Visually Handicapped
2. Persons suffering from hearing defects
3. Physically handicapped with the locomotory disorders.

The 3% of reservation under the above 3 categories has been apportioned as 1% under each category u/s 33 of the 1995 Act. It was also noted that the Govt. of Tamil Nadu in their G.O. No.137 dated 29.1.1990 had also fixed the same percentage of reservation for these categories for admission to MBBS/Engineering etc. courses

The Council further noted that the Sub-Committee in the same meeting had also noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Calcutta in its judgement (case No. M.A.T.No.3105 of 1998 & C.A.No.7514 of 1998) had also agreed upon the apportioning of the reservation under the above three categories in respect of the posts under Government. The same logic is applied for reservation for educational institutions also and taking the guidelines adopted by the Govt. of Tamil Nadu, the Committee was of the opinion that such apportioning of reservation is both logical and sustainable in the Court of Law.

The Committee has concluded that the visually handicapped is not in a position to pursue the medical course and do the internship as corrected vision is absolutely necessary for the study and for the practice of Medicine.
The hearing impairment will interfere with the training in medical education since the process of hearing of various signs and auscultation is absolutely essential to pursue the medical training and to follow the medical practice.

In view of the above observations the visually handicapped and hearing disabled should be deleted from this category and they should be considered invalid for admission in the MBBS.

Among the locomotory disabled the upper limb should be functional & normal as it is required to elicit sign during clinical examination and finer movements are desired for conduct of surgical procedure. Again the feeling and the sensation are important for clinical diagnosis and the treatment and locomotory disabled involving upper limb should be considered not eligible for admission to the professional medical course. The locomotory disabled involving the lower limb is permissible but it should be with the following guidelines:-

1. If it involves only one lower limb it should have a minimum of 40% and should not exceed 60%.
2. If it involves both the lower limbs the total disability should not exceed 60% with a minimum of 40%.

The Disability certificate should be produced by a duly constituted and authorised Medical Board.

The candidates should have minimum eligibility criteria as per MCI guidelines. The candidates should be otherwise fit medically.

Those candidates who are aspiring to get seats under this reservation category should apply specifically along with the valid disability certificate.

In case there are not enough number of candidates who qualify themselves for such number of reserved seats the remaining seats should be merged with the respective category of existing reservation.

The Council considered the Sub-Committee’s report and approved the same in totality.

55. **Nomenclature of the Medical Colleges/institutions in the country.**

Read:- The letter dt.7/4/2001 received from Dr. S.K. Mittal, member of the Council with regard to nomenclature of the medical colleges/institutions in the country.
The Council noted that the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22.1.2001 considered the letter dated 17.1.2001 from Dr. S.K. Mittal, Member, MCI regarding nomenclature of the medical colleges/institutions in the country and had decided that MCI has no role to play regarding the nomenclature to be adopted by the applicant authorities for naming the medical colleges which they wish to establish.

The Council further noted that decision of the Executive Committee was communicated to Dr. S.K. Mittal vide MCI letter dated 27.3.2001 in response to which he desired to bring this item before the General Body of the Council as being the guardian of the medical education in India, the Council should be able to suggest to the Govt. about the norms to be followed for adopting the nomenclature of the medical colleges/institutions.

Upon deliberations in the matter, Council decided to obtain any circular issued by the U.G.C. in this regard for further consideration.

78. **To consider the matter with regard to appointment of teachers who do not possess requisite teaching experience in terms of governing eligibility for promotion to higher cadres as prescribed by the Council Rules.**

Read: The matter with regard to appointment of teachers who do not possess requisite teaching experience in terms of governing eligibility for promotion to higher cadres as prescribed by the Council Rules.

The Council noted the decision of the Postgraduate Committee where they have informed that it has been deciding the cases pertaining to starting/recognition of the courses as per the regulations prescribed by the Council on Teachers Eligibility Qualifications. However by virtue of differential service conditions invoked by various State Govts/Union Govt./and centrally managed Institutes of Higher Medical Education etc. governing the Minimum Eligibility in terms of teaching experience for promotion to higher cadres several dichotomies have been created. If the Regulations are to be strictly followed many of the courses cannot be considered for starting/recognition.

Council further noted that many representations from the senior teachers who have been promoted in terms of service conditions prescribed by their employers and hence have sought exemption from the binding requirements of TEQ Regulations are being received. Therefore, the Postgraduate Committee taking into consideration various aspects has recommended that the concerned teacher who does not possess requisite teaching experience in terms of governing eligibility for promotions to higher cadres as prescribed by the Council rules should at least possess a minimum of
total teaching experience of 15 years put in the various cadres starting from Lecturer/Assistant Professor onwards.

This shall apply exclusively to the appointment of teachers that have been made prior to promulgation of Teachers Eligibility Qualifications Regulations, 1998”.

The Council approved the above guidelines suggested by the Postgraduate Committee regarding considering the teachers as recognised teachers for starting/recognition of the various postgraduate courses.

79. **Clarification regarding appointment of Dental Faculty to the post of Principal and Superintendent in Medical College in Orissa.**

Read: The matter with regard to appointment of Dental Faculty to the post of Principal and Superintendent in Medical College in Orissa.

The Council considered the request of the President, I.M.A. (Local Branch), Orissa and decided not to agree for appointment of Dental Faculty to the post of Principal and Superintendent in Medical College in Orissa and issue notice to the concerned authorities to immediately rectify the appointment made as the same is not as per the Council regulations.

80. **Term of the Executive Committee members.**

Read: The letter with regard to the term of the Executive Committee members.

The Council considered the letter received from Dr. S.K. Sinha, Member, MCI, who has requested that the term of members of the Executive Committee may be made at par with that of the Postgraduate Committee i.e. 5 years.

The Council deliberated in the matter and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to raise the term of members of the Executive Committee from 2(two) to 3(three) years in light of the fact that by raising the term of members of the Executive Committee to 3 years it will help in maintaining the continuity of the membership on the Committee which will also help to decide the ongoing matters. This is being recommended in light of the fact that the term of the PG Committee is 5 years and also the term of the members as per the Act is 5 years.

The Council further decided that the Central Govt. be requested to make necessary amendment accordingly in the Council Regulations.
Dr. Ketan Desai, before the rest of the agenda items could be taken up by the General Body, informed the august body that Dr. Prem Aggarwal, Member of the Council along with his letter dated 21.06.2001 claiming himself to be the Returning Officer had appended therewith a photocopy of an order dated 19.06.2001 passed in writ petition No. 641 (M/B) of 2001 by the Hon’ble High Court at Uttarakhand which reads as under:-

“Heard Mr. Sharad Sharma counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rakesh Thapaliyal, counsel for Union of India.

Petitioner to serve respondent No. 3 (Private respondent) personally within a period of 10 days. In the meanwhile, respondent No. 3 Dr. Ketan Desai shall not take any major decision regarding affiliation of the colleges or any decision having financial implication.

List after 10 days.”


He further informed the Council that by virtue of this order he is refraining himself from participating in the rest of the agenda items. Dr. Ketan Desai, President of the Council with all respect and due deference to the order dated 19.06.2001, although no certified copy of the Court order was received by Dr. Ketan Desai and/or by the Secretary, MCI, did not participate in the matters bearing agenda items No. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77.

(Dr. M. Sachdeva)
Secretary.

New Delhi, dated the 21st & 22nd June, 2001

Dr. Ketan Desai
President.
Before taking up the agenda items by the General Body, Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra moved the following resolution that :-

1. Certified copy of the Hon’ble Uttranchal High Court order dated 19.06.2001 in Writ Petition No. 641 (M/B) of 2001 as read out by the Chairman be procured along with the copy of the Writ Petition.
2. Medical Council of India as Respondent No. 2 and Dr. Ketan Desai as Respondent No. 3 in his capacity as President. Both of them be defended before the Hon’ble Uttaranchal High Court.
3. All efforts be undertaken to get the order dated 19.06.2001 vacated.

The resolution was approved unanimously by the members of the Council. It was also decided to record Council’s appreciation to this gesture of Dr. Ketan Desai.

The Council then collectively took the rest of the agenda items and decided as under:-

7. Padmashree Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College for Women, Pimpri – Approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by the Pune University.

Read : the compliance verification inspection report (2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} April 2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (Dec., 2000) for approval of Padmashree Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College for Women, Pimpri for the award of MBBS degree granted by the Pune University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (2\textsuperscript{nd} & 3\textsuperscript{rd} April,2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (Dec.,2000) and decided to recommend that Padmashree Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College for Women, Pimpri be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Pune University with an annual intake of 100 (hundred) students.”
8. Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar – Recognition of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by University of Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar (Deemed University).

Read:- The Council Inspectors report (2nd, 3rd, 4th & 5th April, 2001) carried out to assess the physical and other teaching facilities available at Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar along with standard of examination held by University of Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences for recognition of the Instt. as an Undergraduate Medical College along with the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences, Srinagar was established in the year 1988 and was admitting the students since then. The Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences was taken over by the Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences vide J&K Order dated 12.10.1998.

The Committee further noted that upon taking over of Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences by Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences an inspection of the college was carried out by the Council Inspectors in October,1998 and the Committee at its meeting held on 29.10.1998 upon consideration of the inspection report permitted the authorities to admit 80 students for one academic session i.e. 1998-99 with an advise to rectify the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report for consideration of the matter of recognition of the college.

On receipt of the compliance on the deficiencies, an inspection for recognition of the college was carried out on 26th, 27th & 28th June,2000 and The report was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24th July,2000. During that meeting the Committee noted the order No. 375 M & E of 2000 dated 22.6.2000 of the Govt. of J & K issued in suppression of previous orders on the subject whereby admission to the 1st year MBBS course to Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences under medical college, Bemina has been restricted to 50 seats only on annual basis from the session 2000-2001.

On considering the Council Inspectors report (26th, 27th & 28th June,2000), the Committee decided to permit the authorities to admit 50 students for the academic session 2000-2001. The Committee further decided to advise the college authorities to rectify the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report for consideration of the matter of recognition of the institution.

The Committee further noted that the Director, Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences vide his letter dated 11.04.2000 had submitted the list of 78
students who were admitted for the MBBS course at the Instt. during the academic session 1999-2000 without getting the permission of the Medical Council of India. Required action u/s 10B has already been initiated against these excess admissions. The Committee decided to inform this fact to the Central Govt.

On receipt of the compliance report and request u/s 11(2) of the I.M.C. Act,1956 an inspection to assess the facilities at the institute for teaching and training of undergraduate students along with the standard of examination held by Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar (Deemed University) was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th April,2001.

The Committee upon considering inspection report (2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th April,2001), decided to recommend that MBBS degree granted by Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar (Deemed University) be recognised and included in the First Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956. The admission capacity at the college from the academic session 2000-2001 onwards shall be 50 (fifty) students per year.”

The Council perused the decision taken by the Executive Committee and noted that SKIMS Medical College, Srinagar was earlier Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences, Srinagar with its associated hospitals and was managed by private Trust – the Kashmir Medical Trust which was a recognised body established in the year 1988. This college was taken over by the Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar vide J & K order dated 12.10.1998.

The Council further noted that first batch of students admitted at Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences had passed out their final MBBS examination held by Kashmir University in the year 1994 and decided to approve the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Kashmir University from the first batch of students i.e. from the year 1994.

Since final MBBS examination of the students of Jhelum Valley College of Medical Sciences upon taking over by Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences was held under the Deemed University in April,2001, the Council decided to recommend to the Central Govt. that MBBS degree granted by Sher-I-Kashmir Instt. of Medical Sciences, Srinagar (Deemed University) be recognised and included in the First Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 w.e.f. April,2001.
9. **Recognition of Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal for the award of MBBS degree granted by the Kathmandu University u/s 12(2) of the IMC Act, 1956.**

Read: The Council Inspectors report (13\textsuperscript{th}, 14\textsuperscript{th} & 15\textsuperscript{th} Dec., 2000) carried out for recognition of Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara along with the information furnished by the college/University authorities on the points raised by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22/1/2001.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that an inspection of Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara was carried out as per request of the Central Govt. for recognition of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Kathmandu University u/s 12 (2) of the Indian Medical Council Act,1956 under the reciprocity scheme. The inspection report was considered by it at its meeting held on 22.1.2001 wherein some clarifications were sought from the college authorities.

The Committee further considered the information furnished by the college/University authorities on the points raised by it and decided to recommend that Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara be recognised for the award of MBBS degree granted by Kathmandu University u/s 12(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act,1956 with an annual intake of 100 (One hundred) students and included in the Second Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956.”

10. **Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad – Approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by Ch.Charan Singh University.**

Read:- The compliance verification inspection report (16\textsuperscript{th} & 17\textsuperscript{th} April, 2001) for approval of Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad for the award of MBBS degree granted by Ch.Charan Singh University, Meerut.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (16\textsuperscript{th} & 17\textsuperscript{th} April,2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the MCI inspection reports (Oct.,2000 & Jan.,2001) along with letter dated 24.04.2001 received from the
college authorities and decided to recommend that Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Ch. Charan Singh University with an annual intake of 50 (fifty) students.”

11. **Mata Gujri Memorial Medical College, Kishanganj – Recognition of the college for the award of MBBS degree granted by B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura, Bihar.**

   Read: The compliance verification inspection report (2\textsuperscript{nd} & 3\textsuperscript{rd} April, 2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (May, 2000) carried out for recognition of Mata Gujri Memorial Medical College, Kishanganj for the award of MBBS degree granted by B.N. Mandal University, Bihar.

   The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

   “The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (2\textsuperscript{nd} & 3\textsuperscript{rd} April, 2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (May, 2000) and came to the conclusion that in view of the teaching staff and other infrastructural facilities made available at Mata Gujri Memorial Medical College and after assessing the final examination of the MBBS course, decided to recommend that MBBS degree granted by B.N. Mandal University, Bihar in respect of students being trained at Mata Gujri Memorial Medical College, Kishanganj be recognised with an annual intake of 60(sixty) students and included in the First Schedule to the I.M.C. Act, 1956. However, this recommendation of the Executive Committee of the Council shall be subject to any order which may be passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 2194/96 – Mata Gujri Medical College, Kishanganj Vs. State of Bihar & Ors. pending before the Hon’ble Supreme Court.”

12. **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of M.D.(Physiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai.**

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Physiology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year. The Committee decided that the attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report within six months and compliance be sent to that effect.”


Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) student per year.

The Committee further decided to direct the authorities of the institution to implement the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report and submit the compliance within three months.”

14. University of Bombay/Mumbai and Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Recognition of D.P.H. qualification in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of
examination and other teaching facilities available at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of recognition of D.P.H. qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that DPH qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 6(six) students per year.”

15. **Sardar Patel University – Recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad for purpose of recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Sardar Patel University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (General Medicine) qualification granted by Sardar Patel University in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

16. **Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, (Deemed Universit), Lucknow – Recognition of D.M.(Endocrinology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.**

Read:- The Council Inspector (December, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Endocrinology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, (Deemed University), Lucknow.
The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December, 2000) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Endocrinology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

17. **Annamalai University – Recognition of M.D. (Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar.**

Read:- the Council Inspector’s report (September, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar together with compliance for purpose of recognition of MD (Microbiology) qualification granted by Annamalai University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (September, 2000) together with compliance and decided to recommend that M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by Annamalai University in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

18. **M.S University of Baroda – Recognition of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Baroda.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Baroda for purpose of recognition of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification granted by M.S. University of Baroda.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Biochemistry)
qualification granted by M.S. University of Baroda in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Baroda be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

19. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of D.M.R.D. qualification in respect of students being trained at Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka, Kolar.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka, Kolar for purpose of recognition of D.M.R.D. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that D.M.R.D. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of students being trained at Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka, Kolar be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

20. **Kerala University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Thoracic Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram together with compliance for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Thoracic Surgery) qualification granted by Kerala University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2000) along with compliance and decided to recommend that M.Ch. (Thoracic Surgery) qualification granted by Kerala University in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.
The Postgraduate Committee further decided to direct the authorities of the institution/university that the nomenclature of the qualification of M.Ch.(Thoracic Surgery) be changed to M.Ch.(Cardio-Vascular & Thoracic Surgery) in consonance with the Council Regulations on Postgraduate Medical Education, 2000.”

21. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai.

ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Recognition of D.P.M. qualification in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of recognition of i) M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and ii) D.P.M. qualification earlier granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and now by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided –

i) To recommend that M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students for M.D.(Psychiatry) and 4(four) students for D.P.M. courses per year.

ii) To recommend that D.P.M. qualification granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

22. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Vellore.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of
examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Microbiology) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Vellore be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

23. **Sardar Patel University – Recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad.**


The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification granted by Sardar Patel University in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) students per year.”

24. **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.
The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that L.T. M. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (Pharmacology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

25. **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that L.T. M. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (General Medicine) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 12(twelve) students per year.”

26. **University of Bombay/Mumbai– Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 11(eleven) students in M.D. and 10(ten) students in Diploma courses per year.”
27. **Punjab University and Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Approval of Christian Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification.**

   Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

   The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

   “The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Ludhiana be approved for the award of M.D. (Pathology) qualification granted earlier by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

28. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.Ortho. qualification.**

   Read: The Council Inspector’s report (December, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiiah Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.Ortho. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

   The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

   “The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December, 2000) and decided to recommend that M.S. Ramaiiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.Ortho. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

29. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.Ortho. qualification.**
Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.Ortho qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.Ortho qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

30. Rajasthan University - Approval of Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur along with the compliance for purpose of approval of college for the award of M.D.(Dermatogoy, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by Rajasthan University, Rajasthan.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2000) along with the compliance and decided to recommend that Dr. S.N. Medical College, Jodhpur be approved for the award of M.D. (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by Rajasthan University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

31. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & D.C.P. qualifications.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) & D.C.P. qualifications earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.
The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of M.D. (Pathology) and DCP qualifications earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai, restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students in M.D and 4(four) students in DCP courses per year.”

32. **Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.Ch. (Paediatric Surgery) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.Ch. (Paediatric Surgery) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of M.Ch. (Paediatric Surgery) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai, restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

33. **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D. (Pathology) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D. (Pathology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that L.T.M. Medical College,
Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (Pathology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 6(six) students per year. The Committee further decided that the attention of the authorities be drawn towards the remarks / recommendations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

34. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of D.Ortho qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.Ortho qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be approved for the award of D. Ortho. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

35. **Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by the Tamilnadu Dr.M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”
36. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of D.C.P. qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the of standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.C.P. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.C.P. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

37. **Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Continuance of recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Stanley Medical College, Chennai.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Stanley Medical College, Chennai for purpose of continuance of recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai in respect of Stanley Medical College, Chennai be continued. However, the authorities of the institution are directed that in view of the available teaching faculty, the admissions in M.D. (General Medicine) course be restricted to 15(fifteen) students per year.

The attention of the authorities may be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Inspector in the inspection report.”
43. **Constitution of Departmental Promotion Committee – Reg.**

Read:- The matter with regard to constitution of Departmental Promotion Committee:-

The Council approved the action taken by the President with regard to constitution of Departmental Promotion Committee as approved by the Executive Committee.

44. **Promotion of the employees of the Council – Recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee – Approval of.**

Read : The matter with regard to promotion of the employees of the Council made as per recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC).

The Council approved the promotion of the following employees of the Council as per recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee as approved by the Executive Committee:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Name of Person</th>
<th>Post to which promoted</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pay Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Mr. Ranvir Singh, SO</td>
<td>Administrative Officer</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>8000-275-13500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mr. Vineet Agarwal, HC</td>
<td>Accountant</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>5500-175-9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Mr. Ramesh Chand, HC</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>5500-175-9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Mr. Bhagwan Das, HC</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>5500-175-9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Mrs. Saroj Bhasin,</td>
<td>Stenographer Grade II</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>4500-125-7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steno. Grade III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Mr. Ravi Bhargav, UDC</td>
<td>Stenographer Grade II</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>4500-125-7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steno. Grade III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Mr. Raj Kumar Jain, UDC</td>
<td>Head Clerk</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>4500-125-7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Mr. Anil Kumar, UDC</td>
<td>Head Clerk</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>4500-125-7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Mr. Bijender Singh, UDC</td>
<td>Head Clerk</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>4500-125-7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Mr. Hoshiar Singh, LDC</td>
<td>U.D.C.</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>4000-100-6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Mr. Dinesh Kumar, LDC</td>
<td>U.D.C.</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>4000-100-6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Mr. Yoginder Kumar,</td>
<td>Gestetner Operator</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>3050-75-3950-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daftary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80-4590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44
45. **Appointment of Deputy Secretary in the Council office.**

Read: The matter with regard to the appointment of Dr. Ajit Singh Nayyer, Deputy Secretary in the Council office, made as per recommendations of the Selection Committee.

The Council approved the appointment of Dr. Ajit Singh Nayyer, Deputy Secretary in the Council office, made as per recommendations of the Selection Committee as approved by the Executive Committee.

46. **University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D. (Microbiology) qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that L.T. M. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai. However, the authorities of the institution are directed that in view of the available teaching faculty, prospectively annual admissions in M.D. (Microbiology) course be restricted to 4(four) students from the ensuing academic session. “

49. **Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal – Continuance of recognition of M.S. (Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore.**

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Dec., 99) along with the compliance and compliance verification report (Dec., 2000) and noted that Unit – I is functioning at Wenlock District Hospital, Mangalore with only 25 beds and accordingly the clinical matter and the teaching faculty therein cannot be taken into consideration for intake of Postgraduate students.

The Committee, taking into consideration that only Unit- II is constituted as per MCI norms, decided to recommend that recognition of M.S.(Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications granted by M.A.H.E. in respect of Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore be continued. However the authorities of the institution are directed to restrict the annual intake, from the next academic session, to 3(three) students in M.S.(Ophthalmology) & 6(six) students in D.O. courses in view of the available teaching faculty. “

50. **Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal – Continuance of recognition of M.S. (Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal.**


The Council approved the following recommendations of the Chairman, Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Chairman, Postgraduate Committee as authorised by the Postgraduate Committee upon consideration of the inspection report (Dec., 99) and the compliance verification inspection report (Dec., 2000) along with clarification regarding the HOD of the department received from the authorities of Manipal Academy of Higher Education as desired by the Postgraduate Committee decided to recommend that recognition of M.S. (Ophthalmology) and D.O. qualification granted by MAHE in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal be continued restricting the number of admissions to 5 (five) students for M.D. course and 10 (ten) for diploma course.”


The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee noted that certain qualifications have been prescribed to be treated at par with M.D./M.S. awarded by the Indian Universities as given in the Schedule-II to the Minimum Qualifications for Teachers in Medical Institutions Regulations,1998. For the qualification MAMS/MNAMS/DNB the para ‘a’ as given below has been prescribed and that the Central Govt. has been requested to substitute that para with the paragraph given at ‘b’ as per the General Body decision:-

(a) “MAMS/MNAMS/DNB qualifications when granted on or after 1st June, 1976 granted by National Board of Examinations, New Delhi after due examination and fulfilling one year research experience” by following clause:-

(b) “MAMS/MNAMS/DNB qualifications when granted on or after 1st June, 1976 granted by National Board of Examinations, New Delhi. For teaching appointments in broad specialities the holders of Diplomate NBE should have at least 1 year teaching experience as a Tutor/Registrar/Demonstrator or equivalent post in a recognised medical college imparting undergraduate teaching and training. Regarding candidates holding Diplomate NBE in super speciality subjects the training shall be for two years in a recognised medical college having recognised postgraduate medical degree in the concerned speciality for appointment as a Lecturer in super-speciality.

The Central Govt. subsequently constituted a Sub-Committee under the Chairmanship of Dr. (Mrs.) Gourie Devi where the Sub-Committee made the
following recommendations:-

1. The degree DNB (Diplomate National Board of Examinations) awarded by the National Board of Examinations is equivalent to MD/MS/DM/M.Ch.

2. For appointment to teaching posts, the holder of DNB qualification is eligible and should be treated at par with those having other Postgraduate/Postdoctoral qualifications such as MD/MS/DM/M.Ch.

The Postgraduate Committee also noted that the Central Govt. has conveyed that the Sub-Committee’s recommendations have the approval of the Director General of Health Services. However, the Postgraduate Committee decided not to agree with the recommendations of the Sub-Committee and decided to reiterate that the holders of Diplomate NBE qualifications in broad specialities should have at least one year research experience as a Tutor/Registrar/Demonstrator or equivalent post in a recognised medical college imparting undergraduate teaching and training and further the candidates holding DNB in super speciality subjects shall have training for 2 years in a recognised medical college having recognised postgraduate medical degree in the concerned speciality for appointment as a Lecturer in super speciality.

The Postgraduate Committee also decided to reiterate its decision taken at its meeting held on 10.9.98 which was duly endorsed by the General Body at its meeting held on 29.10.98 that the condition of one year research experience as a Tutor/Registrar/Demonstrator or equivalent post in a recognised medical college imparting undergraduate teaching and training for appointment as a Lecturer for the holders of Diplomate DNB in broad specialities and further that 2 years training in a recognised medical college having recognised postgraduate medical degree in the concerned speciality for appointment as a Lecturer for holders of Diplomate DNB in super speciality be made applicable for non-teaching appointments as well. The reasons for doing so may again be reiterated as under:-

(i) Admission to DNB course is only by qualifying examination whereas admission to postgraduate M.D./M.S./D.M./M.Ch. courses is by a competitive merit based examination.

(ii) A definite teaching faculty along with other infrastructural facilities as per laid down regulations of the Council is available for postgraduate students undergoing MD/MS/DM/M.Ch courses whereas for DNB training the faculty possessing adequate teaching experience is not available. Moreover, the DNB courses are mostly conducted in non-teaching hospitals by the consultants who are by and large practitioners.
(iii) The facilities of the basic sciences training which are essential for postgraduate training is by and large deficient in the institutions imparting DNB training thus leading to lack of orientation of the students pursuing DNB courses to the basic sciences.

(iv) The candidates pursuing postgraduate courses i.e. MD/MS/DM/M.Ch are trained in the General Hospitals attached to the concerned institutions where the clinical material is available to the maximum extent. On the other hand the DNB candidates are trained mostly in private hospitals which mainly cater to the private patients thus impairing the DNB training as far as hands on training is concerned.

(v) MD/MS/DM/M.Ch courses are conducted in the institutions having multidisciplinary approach for trainees who thus have wider interaction with different aspects of medicine and more clinical material available for training within the institution which otherwise may not be available for DNB.

The Committee decided that the Council Advocate be requested to apprise the Hon’ble Court in the matter accordingly and further that the Central Govt. may be requested to substitute the existing paragraph ‘a’ by paragraph ‘b’ as quoted above as per the decision taken by the General Body of the Council.

56. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur.**

Read:- The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur for purpose of recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.S.(ENT) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of students being trained at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The Committee further decided to advise the institution to implement the observations of the Council’s Inspector in the report.”
57. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification in respect of students being trained at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur.**

Read:- The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur togetherwith compliance for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March,2001) together with compliance report and decided to recommend that MD(Paediatrics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore in respect of students being trained at Shri B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

58. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification in respect of students being trained at J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March,2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University in respect of students being trained at J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act,1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”
59. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of students being trained at Kempegowda Instt. of Medical Sciences, Bangalore**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Instt. of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University in respect of students being trained at Kempegowda Instt. of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 2(two) students per year.”

60. **Gujarat University – Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 98) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad together with compliance for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Gujarat University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Oct., 98) together with compliance report and decided to recommend that MD(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Gujarat University in respect of students being trained at B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C. Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

61. **University of Burdwan – Recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) on the standard of
examination and other teaching facilities available at Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualifications granted by University of Burdwan.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June,2001) and decided to recommend that recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

62. Himachal Pradesh University – Recognition of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla for purpose of recognition of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April,2001) and decided to recommend that M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

63. Delhi University- Recognition of M.D.(Community Medicine/S.P.M.) qualification in respect of students being trained at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Community Medicine/S.P.M.) qualification granted by Delhi University.
The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Community Medicine/SPM)) qualification granted by Delhi University in respect of students being trained at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

64. **Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow** (Deemed University) – Recognition of M.D.(Pathology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, (Deemed University), Lucknow.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 2(two) students per year.

The Committee further decided that the authorities of the institution be advised to implement the observations of the Council’s Inspector in the report.”

65. **Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow** (Deemed University) – Recognition of DM(Clinical Immunology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (December, 2000) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate
Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow together with compliance for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Clinical Immunology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow (Deemed University).

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December,2000) together with compliance report and decided to recommend that recognition of DM(Clinical Immunology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”


Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai togetherwith compliance for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March,2001) together with compliance and decided to recommend that M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The Committee further decided to advise the authorities of the institution to restrict the annual intake for M.Ch.(Urology) to 1(one) student per year from the ensuing academic year in view of the available teaching faculty.

The Committee further decided that the attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Inspector.”
67. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.C.H. qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.C.H. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.C.H. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

68. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of D.L.O. qualification.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore together with compliance for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) together with compliance report and decided to recommend that Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

69. **Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications.**

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of
examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications granted earlier by Madras University & now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report(April,2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of MD(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications granted earlier by Madras University & now by The Tamilnadu Dr.M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students for degree and 1(One) student for diploma course per year.

The attention of the authorities may be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Inspector in his inspection report.”

70. University of Mumbai/Bombay - Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification granted by University of Mumbai/Bombay.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that LTM Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of MS(ENT) qualification granted by Mumbai/Bombay University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

The attention of the authorities may be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Inspector in his inspection report.”

71. Delhi University- Approval of University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for the award of M.D.(Physiology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical
Sciences, Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by Delhi University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi be approved for the award of M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by Delhi University restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

72. **Delhi University - Approval of University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for the award of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification granted by Delhi University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that University College of Medical sciences, Delhi be approved for the award of M.D(Pharmacology) qualification granted by Delhi University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

The Committee further decided that attention of authorities be drawn towards the observations/remarks made by the Council’s Inspector in the inspection report and the institution authorities be requested to send the compliance to this effect within three months.”

73. **Punjab University and Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(Radio-diagnosis) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana together with compliance report for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Radio-diagnosis) qualification granted by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.
The Council approved the following recommendations of the Chairman, Postgraduate Committee duly ratified by the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Chairman, Postgraduate Committee as authorised by the Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) together with the compliance report and decided to recommend that Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be approved for the award of M.D.(Radio-diagnosis) qualification granted by Punjab University and Bada Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

74. Punjab University and Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications.

Read: The Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana together with compliance report for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications earlier granted by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Chairman, Postgraduate Committee duly ratified by the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Chairman, Postgraduate Committee as authorised by the Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (January, 2001) together with the compliance report and decided to recommend that Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be approved for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) & D.A. qualifications granted by Punjab University and Bada Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 5(five) students in M.D. (Anaesthesia) and 10(ten) students in D.A. courses per year.”

75. Manipal Academy of Higher Education, (Deemed University), Manipal – Continuance of recognition of M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) & D.M.R.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Chairman, Postgraduate Committee duly ratified by the Postgraduate Committee:

“The Chairman, Postgraduate Committee as authorised by the Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December, 1999) together with the compliance report and decided to recommend that the recognition of MD(Radio-Diagnosis) & DMRD qualifications granted by Manipal Academy of Higher Education, (Deemed University), Manipal in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal be continued.

The Committee further decided to advise the authorities of the institution to restrict the annual intake for MD(Radio-Diagnosis) and DMRD courses to 4(four) & 8(eight) students respectively from the ensuing academic year in view of the available teaching faculty.”

76. **Extension of services of Mr. Kishori Lal, Asstt. Secretary.**

The Council approved the action taken by the President granting extension of services of Mr. Kishori Lal, Asstt. Secretary in the Council office for a period of 6 months w.e.f. 1st June, 2001.

77. **Extension of Sh.R.Srinivasan as Consultant (Medical Education Unit).**

The Council approved the action taken by the President granting extension of services of Sh.R.Srinivasan as Consultant (Medical Education Unit) in the Council office for a further period of 6 months w.e.f. 16th June, 2001.

(Dr. M. Sachdeva)
Secretary.

New Delhi, dated
the 21st & 22nd June, 2001.